

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,894	02/28/2002	Jason C. Brooke	8266-0783	3459
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Group Bose McKinney & Evans LLP 2700 First Indiana Plaza 135 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			KYLE, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• /	Application N		·				
Office Action Summan	10/085,894	BROOKE ET A	L. 9				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
· \	Michael J Kyle						
The MAILING DATE of this commerce of the co	unication appears on the co	er sheet with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of the period for reply specified above, the maximum of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mone earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, his primunication. by (30) days, a reply within the statutory in statutory period will apply and will exp eply will, by statute, cause the application this after the mailing date of this communication.	owever, may a reply be timely filed minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered til ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi in to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 19 August 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-f	inal.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9 and 20-34</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) i 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9,20,21 and 32-34</u> is/a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-31</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to res	s/are withdrawn from consid are allowed.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) accepted or b) c	bjected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) included 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	•	the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 he attached Office Action or form	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the prior3. Copies of the certified copies	ity documents have been re ity documents have been re es of the priority documents ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this Nation 7.2(a)).	nal Stage				
Attachment(s)		_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,894 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22, 25-28, 30, and 31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 2,663,048) in view of Lawrence (U.S. Patent No. 746,636).
- 3. With respect to claim 22, Ross discloses a caster assembly comprising a caster (40), a support shaft (33) coupled to the caster, a support bar (30, 32) rigidly coupled to the support shaft, and pivotally couple to a frame (at 31), and a locking bar (66, 67) configured to move between a first position in which a portion of the locking bar (66) engages the support bar (30, 32), and a second position in which the locking bar is clear of the support bar. Ross discloses the caster assembly for use with furniture but fails to expressly disclose the user of the caster assembly with a bed.
- 4. Lawrence teaches a caster assembly for a bed where the caster is moved between a position where the bed is mobilized (figure 1) and a position where the bed is immobilized (figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ross's invention for use with a bed, as shown by Lawrence, as it is known in the art to use adjustable caster assemblies with beds where it is necessary to mobilize the bed to move a patient and also to immobilize the bed when necessary.

Art Unit: 3676

- 5. With respect to claims 25-27, Ross discloses the locking bars (66, 67) to include pedals (51) to pivot the locking bars between first and second positions. The pedal comprises a U-shaped member at an end of the locking bar. There is a U-shape in the pedal (51) near where it connects to the locking bar (near 50, in figure 5). The locking bars are rotatably coupled to the frame members.
- 6. With respect to claim 28, Ross discloses a caster assembly comprising a housing, a wheel (40) rotatably supported by the housing, a support shaft (33) coupled to the housing, a support bar (30, 32) coupled to the support shaft, and a locking bar (66, 67) configured to move between a first position in which the locking bar engages the support bar and a second position in which the locking bar does not engage the support bar. Ross discloses the caster assembly for use with furniture but fails to expressly disclose the user of the caster assembly with a bed.
- 7. Lawrence teaches a caster assembly for a bed where the caster is moved between a position where the bed is mobilized (figure 1) and a position where the bed is immobilized (figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ross's invention for use with a bed, as shown by Lawrence, as it is known in the art to use adjustable caster assemblies with beds where it is necessary to mobilize the bed to move a patient and also to immobilize the bed when necessary.
- 8. With respect to claims 30 and 31, the locking bar includes a pedal (51) by which the locking bar can be pivoted. The locking bar is also rotatably coupled to the frame member (23).
- 9. Claims 24 and 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross in view of Lawrence, as applied to claims 22 and 28, respectively, and in further view of Kendall

Art Unit: 3676

(U.S. Patent No. 4,417,738). Ross fails to disclose the support shaft to include a flange that engages the frame member and limits the pivotal movement of the support bars or support shaft in a second direction opposite the first direction.

10. Kendall teaches a retractable caster assembly comprising a caster (34), a support shaft (between 34 and 44), a support bar (48) and a flange (44) connected to the support shaft that engages the frame member (46) in order to restrict the travel of the lever means and engagement point. Restricting the movement also limits the pivotal movement of the support bar and support shaft. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ross as taught by Kendall in order to restrict the travel of the caster assembly.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claims 6-9, 20, 21, and 32-34 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed August 19, 2004, regarding claims 22-31, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Ross does not disclose the first and second positions of the locking bar, as claimed, and that stops 66 and 67 of Ross are not locking bars. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner asserts that Figures 1 and 2 of Ross show the claimed first and second positions of a locking bar 66, 67. Additionally, Ross states, "the stops

Art Unit: 3676

66 and 67 prevent collapse of the retractable caster unit" (column 4, lines 64-65). Examiner considers this to describe a locking function by the stops 66 and 67, and therefore considers the stops 66 and 67 to meet the limitations of the claimed locking bars.

14. The remainder of applicant's arguments rely on the allegation of patentability of claims 22 and 28. However, as discussed above, examiner disagrees. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is maintained.

Conclusion

- 15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Kyle whose telephone number is 703-305-3614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,894 Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mk

Heather Shackelford Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600